



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/797,866	03/10/2004	Joel J. Smejkal	P04112US3	3738	
22885	7590 01/04/2005		EXAMINER		
MCKEE, VOORHEES & SEASE, P.L.C.			NGUYEN, DONGHAI D		
801 GRAND AVENUE SUITE 3200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	S, IA 50309-2721		3729		
			DATE MAILED: 01/04/2004	-	

DATE MAILED: 01/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

J.S. Patent and T PTOL-326 (R	rademark Office lev. 1-04) Office	Action Summary	Pa	art of Paper No./Mail D	)ate 20041217			
2) Notice 3) Information Paper	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 or No(s)/Mail Date	4) 5) 6)			O-152)			
	application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a li	•		ed.				
	<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority docume</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume</li></ul>	iority documents	have been receive		Stage			
71	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been r	eceived.					
· ·	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreiç ☑ All b)[☐ Some * c)[☐ None of:	gn priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(a) or (i).				
•	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	na adadki wada	251150 \$ 440(6)	(d) or (f)				
•	•							
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptance and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne drawing(s) be hection is required in	eld in abeyance. See fthe drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C				
	The specification is objected to by the Exami							
Applicati	on Papers							
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	/or election requ	irement.					
·	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
-	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>7-10</u> is/are withdra	wn from conside	ration.					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application	on.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims							
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayl	e, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
-	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is							
. •	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 November 2004 and 30 August 2004</u> .  This action is <b>FINAL</b> .  2b) This action is non-final.							
	Passageive to communication(s) filed on 01	November 200/	and 30 August 20	04				
	ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
THE in the second of the secon	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely received by the Office later than three months after the mail	I.  1.136(a). In no event, I  ply within the statutory d will apply and will ex  ute, cause the applicati	nowever, may a reply be tin minimum of thirty (30) day bire SIX (6) MONTHS from on to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. communication.			
Period fo	or Reply	•						
	The MAILING DATE of this communication a	Donghai D. N		3729 orrespondence ao	idress			
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit				
•		10/797,866		SMEJKAL ET AL.				
		Application (	lo.	Applicant(s)	5	7		

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

1. The amendment filed on November 01, 2004 has been entered of record.

Claims 1-0 in the preliminary amendment filed on August 30, 2004 are subjected to a restriction requirement. Note that the last Office Action was mailed on September 16, 2004.

### Election/Restrictions

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-6, drawn to a method for making a plurality of surface mounting resistors, classified in class 29, subclass 613.
  - II. Claims 7-10, drawn to method for making a plurality of surface mounting resistors, classified in class 29, subclass 621.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

3. Inventions Group I and Group II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because invention Group I does not require the connecting the plurality of resistor bodies together of invention Group II. The subcombination has separate utility such as severing the resistor bodies from one another to form multiple surface mounting resistors.

Application/Control Number: 10/797,866 Page 3

Art Unit: 3729

4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II and vice versa, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. During a telephone conversation with Michael B. Voorhees on December 16, 2004 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-6. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 7-10 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.
- 7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Claims 1-6 are previously examined in the prior Office Action mailed on September 16, 2004, and claims 1-6 are continuing to be examined on merits as follow:

#### Double Patenting

8. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and

Application/Control Number: 10/797,866

Art Unit: 3729

useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

9. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claim1-6 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,725,529. This is a double patenting rejection.

Claims 1-4 are the same as claim 1-4 of Patent 6,725,529.

The combination of claims 5 and 6 is the same as claim 5 of Patent 6,725,529.

## Response to Arguments

10. Applicants' arguments filed November 01, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants argue that in claims of the present application do not have the phrase "to create a double thickness overlying strip", therefore they are boarder and the rejection should have been an "obviousness" type objection. The Examiner disagrees. The claims of the present invention and the claims of Patent 6,725,529 are both directed to the method and the identical steps are performed in the same manner with the same material, therefore the intermediary result, "to create a double thickness overlying strip" and the final result, "a plurality of surface mounting resistors" of the claims of the present application are expected to be anticipated by the Patent 6,725,529. See *In Re Goodman*, 11 F.3<sup>rd</sup> 1046, 29 USPQ2<sup>nd</sup> 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Application/Control Number: 10/797,866 Page 5

Art Unit: 3729

### Conclusion

11. Since the elected claims 1-6 have received first Office Action and the Applicants have been responded to the previous Office Action, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Donghai D. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571)-272-4566. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (9:00-6:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter D. Vo can be reached on (571)-272-4690. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/797,866

Art Unit: 3729

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DN

PETER VO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700